

5a, $R_1 = H$; $R_2 = -CH_2C_6H_4NO_2$

noncrystalline foam:^{9,10} ir (CHCl₃) 1775, 1745, and 1685 cm⁻¹; nmr τ (CDCl₃) H₅ 4.41 (s), H₃ 5.56 (s), OCH₃ 6.60 (s), gem-dimethyl 8.70 and 8.50 (s). Hydrogenation of 4c in methanol-THF using 5% Pd/C gave **4d**.

Having demonstrated the utility of this procedure in the synthesis of 6-methoxypenicillins, we focused our attention on the methoxylation of the cephalosporin molecule. The procedure, by analogy, presented two formidable problems: (1) chlorination at the C_2 position and (2) Δ^3 double bond isomerization.⁶ However, treatment of **6a** with lithium methoxide (3.5



equiv) and *tert*-butyl hypochlorite at -80° followed by work-up and chromatography gave a 73% yield of 6c:11 ir (CHCl₃) 1780, 1740, and 1695 cm⁻¹; nmr τ (CDCl₃) H 4.93 (s), side-chain methylene 6.09 (s), OCH_8 6.54 (s). The acid (6d) was obtained via hydrogenation in methanol-THF on 5% Pd/C and converted to its sodium salt 6e (mp 148-150°).

(9) Compound 4c appears to be more stable to methoxide than the starting penicillin, for treatment of 4b with 2.5 equiv of lithium methoxide for 15 min afforded a 50% yield of 5a.

(10) Dr. E. L. Smithwick of Lilly Research Laboratories has demon-strated that under the same conditions, *N*-acetyl-2-phenylglycine methyl ester (i) afforded a quantitative yield of starting material and that, at -20° , one obtained a near quantitative yield of the methoxy amide (ii). These data indicate a reversible O- or N-chlorination followed



by dehydrohalogenation. Since the α hydrogen of i is less activated than the C6 hydrogen of penicillin, a higher temperature is required for its proton removal.

(11) There was no evidence of double bond isomerization during the reaction.

It was now apparent that we could confirm the structure of 7- α -methoxycephalosporin C (7e) obtained



7a, $R_1 = H$; $R_2 = benzhydryl$; $R_3 = tert$ -butyloxycarbonyl **b**, $\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{H}$; $\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_3$; $\mathbf{R}_3 = tert$ -butyloxycarbonyl $c, R_1 = OCH_3; R_2 = CH_3; R_3 = tert-butyloxycarbonyl$ **d**, $\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{OCH}_3$; $\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{benzhydryl}$; $\mathbf{R}_3 = tert$ -butyloxycarbonyl e, $R_1 = OCH_3$; $R_2 = H$; $R_3 = H$ f, $R_1 = H$; $R_2 = H$; $R_3 = H$

by fermentation. Methoxylation of 7b by the previously described method afforded a 70% yield of 7c:12 ir (CHCl₃) 1780, 1740, and 1705 cm⁻¹; nmr τ (DMSO- d_6) H_{6} 4.83 (s), OCH₃ 6.62 (s), *tert*-butyl 8.63 (s). The synthesis of 7e was completed by methoxylating 7a, utilizing the above described conditions, in 65% yield and removing the protecting groups with trifluoroacetic acidformic acid to give 7- α -methoxycephalosporin C in 40% yield. 13, 14

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to Dr. R. Nagarajan for kindly supplying us with the natural derivative and would also like to thank Dr. W. H. W. Lunn for useful discussions during this investigation.

(12) All spectral data were identical with that of the natural derivative.

(13) The spectral and biological assay data were identical with those of the natural derivative.

(14) For an alternate synthesis of 7e, see R. W. Ratcliffe and B. G. Christensen, Tetrahedron Lett., 2910 (1972).

> G. A. Koppel,* R. E. Koehler The Lilly Research Laboratories, Eli Lilly and Company Indianapolis, Indiana 46206 Received December 14, 1972

Resonance Energies of Aromatic Hydrocarbons. A Quantitative Test of Resonance Theory

Sir:

Molecular orbital (MO) theory has largely supplanted valence bond (VB) theory for quantitative correlations of stability and reactivity. One important reason is the large number of paired electron structures that comprise canonical sets.¹ For example, 429 nonionic structures can be drawn for the π -electronic system of the relatively small aromatic molecule anthracene. A restriction of VB theory to the simplest structures, e.g., Kekule² and Dewar structures, has often been declared to be too inaccurate an assumption for quantitative comparisons.³

The crudest variant of VB theory is called resonance theory, in which relative stabilities of isomeric π molecular species are deduced from enumeration of Kekule structures alone. This crude but highly

L. Pauling, J. Chem. Phys., 1, 280 (1933); J. H. Van Fleck and A. Sherman, Rev. Mod. Phys., 7, 167 (1935).
 The words "Kekule structure" will refer to any valence bond

(2) The words Keylie structure with refer to any variate bond structure in which single and double bonds alternate.
(3) A. Pullman, Ann. Chim. (Paris), 2, 5 (1947); P. Daudel and R. Daudel, J. Chem. Phys., 16, 639 (1948); D. P. Craig, Proc. Roy. Soc., Ser. A, 200, 272, 390, 401 (1950); C. A. Coulson, ibid., 207, 91 (1951).

Table I. Resonance Stabilization of Aromatic Hyd
--

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No.	Compound [∞]	VB (γ_1 units)	HMO (β units) ^b	SCF-MO,° eV	$VB (\gamma_1 = 0.838 \text{ eV})^d$
2 Naphthalene 1.580 3.683 1.323 1.324 3 Anthracene 1.870 5.383 1.600 1.567 4 Phenanthrene 2.296 5.448 1.933 1.924 5 Pyrene 2.493 6.505 2.098 2.089 6 Benzo[c]phenanthrene 2.963 7.187 2.478 2.483 7 Benz[a]anthracene 2.709 7.101 2.291 2.270 8 Chrysene 2.963 7.192 2.483 2.483 9 Triphenylene 3.136 7.274 2.654 2.628 10 Perylene 3.160 8.245 2.619 2.648 11 Naphthacene 2.044 6.931 1.822 1.713 12 Pentacene 3.493 8.881 2.948 2.927 15 Dibenz[a,c]anthracene 3.493 8.879 2.948 2.927 15 Dibenz[a,l]anthracene 3.380 8.336 2.853 2.832 16 Benzo[a]pyrene 3.380 8.336 </td <td>1</td> <td>Benzene</td> <td>1.000</td> <td>2.000</td> <td>0.869</td> <td>0.838</td>	1	Benzene	1.000	2.000	0.869	0.838
3 Anthracene 1.870 5.383 1.600 1.567 4 Phenanthrene 2.296 5.448 1.933 1.924 5 Pyrene 2.493 6.505 2.098 2.089 6 Benzo[c]phenanthrene 2.963 7.187 2.478 2.483 7 Benz[a]antracene 2.709 7.101 2.291 2.270 8 Chrysene 2.963 7.192 2.483 2.483 9 Triphenylene 3.136 7.274 2.654 2.628 10 Perylene 3.160 8.245 2.619 2.648 11 Naphthacene 2.044 6.931 1.822 1.713 12 Pentacene 2.160 8.544 2.004 1.810 13 Dibenz[a, J]anthracene 3.493 8.881 2.948 2.927 15 Dibenz[a, J]anthracene 3.493 8.879 2.948 2.927 16 Benzo[c]pyrene 3.380 8.336 2.853 2.832 17 Benzo[c]pyrene 3.380 8.	2	Naphthalene	1.580	3.683	1.323	1.324
4 Phenanthrene 2.296 5.448 1.933 1.924 5 Pyrene 2.493 6.505 2.098 2.089 6 Benzo[c]phenanthrene 2.963 7.187 2.478 2.483 7 Benz[a]anthracene 2.009 7.191 2.291 2.270 8 Chrysene 2.963 7.192 2.483 2.483 9 Triphenylene 3.136 7.274 2.654 2.628 10 Perylene 3.160 8.245 2.619 2.648 11 Naphthacene 2.044 6.931 1.822 1.713 12 Pentacene 2.160 8.544 2.004 1.810 13 Dibenz[a, /lanthracene 3.629 8.942 3.058 3.041 14 Dibenz[a, /lanthracene 3.493 8.879 2.948 2.927 16 Benzo[1]pyrene 3.180 8.336 2.853 2.832 18 Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 19 Coronene 4.088 10.572	3	Anthracene	1.870	5.383	1.600	1.567
5 Pyrene 2.493 6.505 2.098 2.089 6 Benzo[c]phenanthrene 2.963 7.187 2.478 2.483 7 Benz[a]anthracene 2.709 7.101 2.291 2.270 8 Chrysene 2.963 7.192 2.483 2.483 9 Triphenylene 3.136 7.274 2.654 2.628 10 Perylene 3.160 8.245 2.619 2.648 11 Naphthacene 2.044 6.931 1.822 1.713 12 Pentacene 2.160 8.544 2.004 1.810 13 Dibenz[a,c]anthracene 3.629 8.942 3.058 3.041 14 Dibenz[a,l]anthracene 3.493 8.879 2.948 2.927 16 Benzo[d]pyrene 3.380 8.336 2.853 2.832 19 Doronene 4.088 10.572 3.524 3.426 20 Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 21 Ovalene 5.184 14.497 <td< td=""><td>4</td><td>Phenanthrene</td><td>2.296</td><td>5,448</td><td>1.933</td><td>1.924</td></td<>	4	Phenanthrene	2.296	5,448	1.933	1.924
6 Benzo[c]phenanthrene 2.963 7.187 2.478 2.483 7 Benz[a]anthracene 2.709 7.101 2.291 2.270 8 Chrysene 2.963 7.192 2.483 2.483 9 Triphenylene 3.136 7.274 2.654 2.628 10 Perylene 3.160 8.245 2.619 2.648 11 Naphthacene 2.044 6.931 1.822 1.713 12 Pentacene 2.160 8.544 2.004 1.810 13 Dibenz[a,c]anthracene 3.629 8.942 3.058 3.041 14 Dibenz[a,d]anthracene 3.493 8.881 2.948 2.927 15 Dibenz[a,d]pyrene 3.102 8.222 2.854 2.599 17 Benzo[1,12]perylene 3.380 8.336 2.833 2.832 18 Benzo[1,12]perylene 3.581 9.425 3.128 3.001 19 Coronene 4.088	5	Pyrene	2.493	6.505	2.098	2.089
7 Benz[a]anthracene 2.709 7.101 2.291 2.270 8 Chrysene 2.963 7.192 2.483 2.483 9 Triphenylene 3.136 7.274 2.654 2.628 10 Perylene 3.160 8.245 2.619 2.648 11 Naphthacene 2.044 6.931 1.822 1.713 12 Pentacene 2.160 8.544 2.004 1.810 13 Dibenz[a,c]anthracene 3.629 8.942 3.058 3.041 14 Dibenz[a,d]anthracene 3.493 8.881 2.948 2.927 15 Dibenz[a,d]anthracene 3.493 8.8879 2.948 2.927 16 Benzo[a]pyrene 3.380 8.336 2.853 2.832 18 Benzo[1,12]perylene 3.581 9.425 3.128 3.001 19 Coronene 4.088 10.572 3.524 3.426 20 Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 21 Ovalene 5.184 14.4	6	Benzo[c]phenanthrene	2.963	7.187	2.478	2.483
8 Chrysene 2.963 7.192 2.483 2.483 9 Triphenylene 3.136 7.274 2.654 2.628 10 Perylene 3.160 8.245 2.619 2.648 11 Naphthacene 2.044 6.931 1.822 1.713 12 Pentacene 2.160 8.544 2.004 1.810 13 Dibenz[a,c]anthracene 3.629 8.942 3.058 3.041 14 Dibenz[a,A]anthracene 3.493 8.879 2.948 2.927 15 Dibenz[a,Jjanthracene 3.102 8.222 2.854 2.599 17 Benzo[4]pyrene 3.380 8.336 2.853 2.832 18 Benzo[1,12]perylene 3.581 9.425 3.128 3.001 19 Coronene 4.088 10.572 3.524 3.426 20 Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 21 Ovalene 5.184 14.497 4.539 4.344 22 Zethrene 3.160 2.694	7	Benz[a]anthracene	2.709	7.101	2,291	2,270
9 Triphenylene 3.136 7.274 2.654 2.628 10 Perylene 3.160 8.245 2.619 2.648 11 Naphthacene 2.044 6.931 1.822 1.713 12 Pentacene 2.160 8.544 2.004 1.810 13 Dibenz[a,c]anthracene 3.629 8.942 3.058 3.041 14 Dibenz[a,J]anthracene 3.493 8.881 2.948 2.927 15 Dibenz[a,J]anthracene 3.493 8.879 2.948 2.927 16 Benzo[a]pyrene 3.102 8.222 2.854 2.599 17 Benzo[a]pyrene 3.380 8.336 2.853 2.832 18 Benzo[1,12]perylene 3.581 9.425 3.128 3.001 19 Coronene 4.088 10.572 3.524 3.426 20 Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 21 Ovalene 5.184 14.497 4.539 4.344 22 Zethrene 3.160 2.694	8	Chrysene	2,963	7.192	2.483	2,483
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	9	Triphenylene	3.136	7.274	2.654	2.628
11Naphthacene2.0446.9311.8221.71312Pentacene2.160 8.544 2.0041.81013Dibenz[a,c]anthracene 3.629 8.942 3.058 3.041 14Dibenz[a, J]anthracene 3.493 8.881 2.948 2.927 15Dibenz[a, J]anthracene 3.493 8.879 2.948 2.927 16Benzo[a]pyrene 3.102 8.222 2.854 2.599 17Benzo[4]pyrene 3.380 8.336 2.833 2.832 18Benzo[1,12]perylene 3.581 9.425 3.128 3.001 19Coronene 4.088 10.572 3.524 3.426 20Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 21Ovalene 5.184 14.497 4.539 4.344 22Zethrene 3.160 2.694 2.648 23Quaterrylene 6.320 5.309 5.296 24Biphenyl 2.000 4.383 1.699 1.676 25Styrene 1.000 2.424 0.858 0.838 25Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	10	Perylene	3.160	8.245	2.619	2.648
12Pentacene2.1608.5442.0041.81013Dibenz[a,c]anthracene 3.629 8.942 3.058 3.041 14Dibenz[a,k]anthracene 3.493 8.881 2.948 2.927 15Dibenz[a,j]anthracene 3.493 8.879 2.948 2.927 16Benzo[a]pyrene 3.102 8.222 2.854 2.599 17Benzo[a]pyrene 3.380 8.336 2.853 2.832 18Benzo[1,12]perylene 3.581 9.425 3.128 3.001 19Coronene 4.088 10.572 3.524 3.426 20Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 21Ovalene 5.184 14.497 4.539 4.344 22Zethrene 3.160 2.694 2.648 23Quaterrylene 6.320 5.309 5.296 24Biphenyl 2.000 4.383 1.699 1.676 25Styrene 1.000 2.424 0.858 0.838 26Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	11	Naphthacene	2.044	6.931	1.822	1.713
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	Pentacene	2.160	8.544	2.004	1.810
14Dibenz[a,h]anthracene 3.493 8.881 2.948 2.927 15Dibenz[a,j]anthracene 3.493 8.879 2.948 2.927 16Benzo[a]pyrene 3.102 8.222 2.854 2.599 17Benzo[a]pyrene 3.380 8.336 2.853 2.832 18Benzo[1,12]perylene 3.581 9.425 3.128 3.001 19Coronene 4.088 10.572 3.524 3.426 20Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 21Ovalene 5.184 14.497 4.539 4.344 22Zethrene 3.160 2.694 2.648 23Quaterrylene 6.320 5.309 5.296 24Biphenyl 2.000 4.383 1.699 1.676 25Styrene 1.000 2.424 0.858 0.838 26Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	13	Dibenz[a,c]anthracene	3.629	8.942	3.058	3.041
15 Dibenz[a,J]anthracene 3.493 8.879 2.948 2.927 16 Benzo[a]pyrene 3.102 8.222 2.854 2.599 17 Benzo[a]pyrene 3.380 8.336 2.853 2.832 18 Benzo[1,12]perylene 3.581 9.425 3.128 3.001 19 Coronene 4.088 10.572 3.524 3.426 20 Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 21 Ovalene 5.184 14.497 4.539 4.344 22 Zethrene 3.160 2.694 2.648 23 Quaterrylene 6.320 5.309 5.296 24 Biphenyl 2.000 4.383 1.699 1.676 25 Styrene 1.000 2.424 0.858 0.838 26 Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27 Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28 Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324	14	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	3,493	8.881	2.948	2.927
16Benzo[a]pyrene3.1028.2222.8542.59917Benzo[d]pyrene3.3808.3362.8532.83218Benzo[1,12]perylene3.5819.4253.1283.00119Coronene4.08810.5723.5243.42620Benzo[1,14]bisanthene4.5623.8623.82321Ovalene5.18414.4974.5394.34422Zethrene3.1602.6942.64823Quaterrylene6.3205.3095.29624Biphenyl2.0004.3831.6991.67625Styrene1.0002.4240.8580.83826Stilbene2.0004.8281.7121.67627Azulene0.3703.3630.1690.31028Acenaphthylene1.5804.6191.3351.32429Fluoranthene2.5806.5002.1412.162	15	Dibenz[a, j]anthracene	3.493	8.879	2.948	2.927
17Benzo[d]pyrene3.3808.3362.8532.83218Benzo[1,12]perylene3.5819.4253.1283.00119Coronene4.08810.5723.5243.42620Benzo[1,14]bisanthene4.5623.8623.82321Ovalene5.18414.4974.5394.34422Zethrene3.1602.6942.64823Quaterrylene6.3205.3095.29624Biphenyl2.0004.3831.6991.67625Styrene1.0002.4240.8580.83826Stilbene2.0004.8281.7121.67627Azulene0.3703.3630.1690.31028Acenaphthylene1.5804.6191.3351.32429Fluoranthene2.5806.5002.1412.162	16	Benzo[a]pyrene	3.102	8.222	2.854	2.599
18 Benzo[1,12]perylene 3.581 9.425 3.128 3.001 19 Coronene 4.088 10.572 3.524 3.426 20 Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 21 Ovalene 5.184 14.497 4.539 4.344 22 Zethrene 3.160 2.694 2.648 23 Quaterrylene 6.320 5.309 5.296 24 Biphenyl 2.000 4.383 1.699 1.676 25 Styrene 1.000 2.424 0.858 0.838 26 Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27 Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28 Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29 Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	17	Benzo[d]pyrene	3.380	8.336	2.853	2.832
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	Benzo[1,12]perylene	3.581	9.425	3.128	3.001
20 Benzo[1,14]bisanthene 4.562 3.862 3.823 21 Ovalene 5.184 14.497 4.539 4.344 22 Zethrene 3.160 2.694 2.648 23 Quaterrylene 6.320 5.309 5.296 24 Biphenyl 2.000 4.383 1.699 1.676 25 Styrene 1.000 2.424 0.858 0.838 26 Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27 Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28 Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29 Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	19	Coronene	4.088	10.572	3.524	3.426
21 Ovalene 5.184 14.497 4.539 4.344 22 Zethrene 3.160 2.694 2.648 23 Quaterrylene 6.320 5.309 5.296 24 Biphenyl 2.000 4.383 1.699 1.676 25 Styrene 1.000 2.424 0.858 0.838 26 Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27 Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28 Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29 Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	20	Benzo[1,14]bisanthene	4,562		3.862	3.823
22 Zethrene 3.160 2.694 2.648 23 Quaterrylene 6.320 5.309 5.296 24 Biphenyl 2.000 4.383 1.699 1.676 25 Styrene 1.000 2.424 0.858 0.838 26 Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27 Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28 Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29 Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	21	Ovalene	5.184	14.497	4.539	4.344
23 Quaterrylene 6.320 5.309 5.296 24 Biphenyl 2.000 4.383 1.699 1.676 25 Styrene 1.000 2.424 0.858 0.838 26 Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27 Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28 Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29 Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	22	Zethrene	3.160		2,694	2,648
24 Biphenyl 2.000 4.383 1.699 1.676 25 Styrene 1.000 2.424 0.858 0.838 26 Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27 Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28 Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29 Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	23	Quaterrylene	6.320		5.309	5,296
25Styrene1.0002.4240.8580.83826Stilbene2.0004.8281.7121.67627Azulene0.3703.3630.1690.31028Acenaphthylene1.5804.6191.3351.32429Fluoranthene2.5806.5002.1412.162	24	Biphenyl	2.000	4.383	1.699	1.676
26 Stilbene 2.000 4.828 1.712 1.676 27 Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28 Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29 Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	25	Styrene	1.000	2.424	0.858	0.838
27 Azulene 0.370 3.363 0.169 0.310 28 Acenaphthylene 1.580 4.619 1.335 1.324 29 Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	26	Stilbene	2.000	4.828	1.712	1.676
28Acenaphthylene1.5804.6191.3351.32429Fluoranthene2.5806.5002.1412.162	27	Azulene	0.370	3.363	0.169	0.310
29 Fluoranthene 2.580 6.500 2.141 2.162	28	Acenaphthylene	1.580	4.619	1.335	1,324
	29	Fluoranthene	2.580	6.500	2.141	2.162

^a Structures of compounds 1-26 are I-XXVI, respectively, given in ref 12. ^b Taken from C. A. Coulson and A. Streitwieser, Jr., "Dictionary of π -Electron Calculations," W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, Calif., 1965. Reference 12. Corrected values for compounds 5, 16, and 17 (personal communication, M. J. S. Dewar). ^d Average (best fit) value of γ_1 .

useful method is still a ubiquitous component of the pedagogy of organic chemistry.4 One significant deduction is that compounds for which no Kekule structures can be drawn do not exist.⁵ A remarkable quantitative success is the correlation of experimental bond lengths in aromatic hydrocarbons with Pauling bond orders, obtained from unweighted superposings of Kekule structures.6

The congruence of resonance theory with many experimental facts has been attributed to good fortune⁷ or to unexpected correspondences with results of MO calculations.⁸ However, it is a fact that for alternant π systems the number of Kekule structures is equal to the product of the eigenvalues of the filled levels (Hückel MO),^{8,9} and that both are given by

(8) M. J. S. Dewar and H. C. Longuet-Higgins, Proc. Roy. Soc., Ser. A, 214, 482 (1952).

(9) N. S. Ham, J. Chem. Phys., 29, 1229 (1958).

determinants of the same matrix.¹⁰ Therefore, one might surmise that a more complete mathematical relationship exists between simple resonance theory and HMO theory. Platt has discussed this postulate in detail,¹¹ concluding that the relationship is demonstrated by empirical usefulness of both approximate theories.

A more strict demonstration is a comparison of calculated properties. Since resonance stabilization is an important attribute of aromatic compounds, I decided to calculate resonance energies by VB theory using only Kekule structures and to compare the results with HMO delocalization energies. The results are listed in Table I. A sensitive test for congruity, comparison of resonance energies per π electron, is depicted in Figure 1a.

The original hypothesis is not confirmed since resonance theory and HMO theory do not yield precise parallel results. However, the resonance theory calculations do simulate a more complex series of MO calculations, reported by Dewar and de Llano,¹² in

⁽⁴⁾ G. W. Wheland, "Resonance in Organic Chemistry," Wiley,

⁽⁴⁾ G. W. Wilciand, Resonance in Organic Chemicky, Marking New York, N. Y., 1955.
(5) H. C. Longuet-Higgins, J. Chem. Phys., 18, 265 (1950); E. Clar, "Polycyclic Hydrocarbons," Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1964, Chapter 5.

⁽⁶⁾ L. Pauling, L. O. Brockway, and J. Y. Beach, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 57, 2705 (1935); D. W. J. Cruickshank, and R. A. Sparks, Proc. Roy. Soc., Ser. A, 258, 270 (1960); D. W. J. Cruickshank, Tetrahedron, 17, 155 (1962).

⁽⁷⁾ C. A. Coulson in "Physical Chemistry, An Advanced Treatise," Vol. 5, Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1970, p 381.

⁽¹⁰⁾ M. A. Lee, W. C. Herndon, and V. T. Phan, Acta Mathematica, submitted for publication.

⁽¹¹⁾ J. R. Platt, in "Handbuck der Physik," Vol. XXXVII/2, S. Flugge, Ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1961, pp 187-209.

⁽¹²⁾ M. J. S. Dewar and C. de Llano, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 789 (1969).



Figure 1. Resonance energies per electron.

which π energies are calculated by a semiempirical Pople-type SCF-MO method and in which allowances are made for σ -bond compression energies (see Table I and Figure 1b). These SCF-MO results probably represent the best estimates of resonance energies available,¹³ and the high correlation with the resonance theory values (correlation coefficient is 0.998 for total resonance energy and 0.991 for resonance energy per π electron) attests to a utility of the resonance method in calculating resonance energies. Since the formal procedure can be carried out by hand in a few seconds as delineated later in this paper, the simpler theory should be the method of choice for determination of resonance stabilization.

The reasons for the consonant results remain obscure. The VB results are obtained from a method that is little more than a simple recipe, albeit a quantum mechanical recipe. Perhaps the results ought to be accepted in the same pragmatic spirit that HMO results are accepted. Additional work will show whether or not the approach has wider applicability. The results do indicate that VB theory limited to Kekule structures is a viable concept.

Resonance between Kekule structures gives rise to several types of exchange integrals, of which only two are used in this work. γ_1 refers to resonance between two structures related by a permutation of three bonds within a six-membered ring, and γ_2 involves the permutation of five bonds within two annelated rings. The resonance energy of benzene is therefore γ_1 with the lowest energy electronic transition equal to 2.0 γ_1 . The analogous values for azulene are γ_2 and 2.0 γ_2 . The ratio of γ_2 to γ_1 is given by the ratio of the electronic transitions, 7000 and 2600 Å, respectively, $\gamma_2/\gamma_1 = 0.37$.¹⁴ Actual evaluation of the integrals using bond lengths of 1.40 Å gives $\gamma_2/\gamma_1 = 0.36$.^{1,15}

The Kekule structures are enumerated by writing a single structure and using the concept of the structure count (SC) as described in a recent paper.¹⁶ One can easily demonstrate that the γ_1 exchange integrals can then be enumerated by excision of each ring of the structure in turn, with summation of the SC's for the residual systems. The γ_2 integrals are enumerated by excision of adjacent rings two at a time and application of the same procedure.

The structures are assigned equal weights in the resonance hybrid, and a zero overlap approximation is used. The total resonance energies must then be twice the sum of exchange interactions divided by the number of Kekule structures K. Resonance energies are therefore given by the formula $RE = 2(n_1\gamma_1 + 0.37n_2\gamma_2)/K$.

Acknowledgment. The financial support of the Robert A. Welch Foundation is gratefully acknowledged. Useful discussions with D. M. Lee are greatly appreciated.

William C. Herndon Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, Texas 79968 Received December 18, 1972

Photochemistry of 4-*tert*-Butyl-2,6-dimethylpyrylium Perchlorate. Evidence for an Oxoniabenzvalene Intermediate

Sir:

We wish to report a study of the photochemistry of 4-*tert*-butyl-2,6-dimethylpyrylium perchlorate (1), the products from which provide strong evidence for the intermediacy of an oxoniabenzvalene, *i.e.*, an oxygen analog of benzvalene.

Irradiation of the pyrylium salt 1 in water ($\lambda > 270$ nm; 25°; nitrogen atmosphere; 0.02 *M* solution; 18 hr) gave an acidic solution from which an oil was isolated by ether extraction. Chromatography of the oil on silica gel gave four main products, 2, 3a, 3b, and 4, in yields of 4, 15, 2, and 6%, respectively. Under



the conditions described, ca. 70-80% of the pyrylium salt was destroyed. The structural assignments are based on the following evidence.

The diol 2, mp 71–72°, $C_{11}H_{18}O_2$, had τ (CDCl₃)¹ 4.06, 5.08, 5.13 (each 1 H, apparent s), 5.94 (1 H, d, J = 7 Hz; collapsed to s on D₂O exchange), 7.33 (OH, d, J = 7 Hz), 7.4 (OH, s), 8.75 (3 H, s), and 8.84 (9 H, s).

Triol **3a** crystallized as the hemihydrate $C_{11}H_{20}$ -O₃·0.5H₂O, mp 60-61°, which had τ (CDCl₃)¹ 4.39 (1 H, s), 5.97 (1 H, d, J = 6 Hz; collapsed to s on D₂O exchange), 6.53 (OH, s), 7.58 (OH, d, J = 6 Hz), 7.69 (OH, s), 8.41 (OH, s), 8.79 (3 H, s), and 8.88 (12 H, s).

Triol **3b** mp 150.5–151°, $C_{11}H_{20}O_3$, had nmr (CDCl₃)¹ τ 4.47 (1 H, s), 5.62 (1 H, d, J = 7 Hz; collapsed to s on D₂O exchange), 7.49 (OH, s), 7.82 (OH, d, J = 7Hz), 8.39 (OH, broad), 8.62 (3 H, s), 8.72 (3 H, s), and 8.86 (9 H, s).

3a and 3b were both converted into 2, identical in all

⁽¹³⁾ See the papers by B. A. Hess, Jr., and L. J. Schaad for a different viewpoint, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 305, 2413 (1971); J. Org. Chem., 36, 3418 (1971).

⁽¹⁴⁾ S. P. McGlynn, L. G. Vanquickenborne, M. Kinoshita, and D. G. Carroll, "Introduction to Applied Quantum Chemistry," Holt, Birsherton JW, 1972, np. 214-217

Rinchart and Winston, New York, N. Y., 1972, pp 214-217. (15) C. A. Coulson and W. T. Dixon, *Tetrahedron*, 17, 215 (1962).

⁽¹⁶⁾ W. C. Herndon, Teirahedron, 29, 3 (1973).

⁽¹⁾ The chemical shifts for the OH signals in 2, 3a, and 3b were concentration dependent. The quoted values are for ca. 1% solutions. At higher concentrations, the OH resonances collapsed to a single broad peak.